

## Overview

This report provides summary information on all Requests for Proposal (RFPs) sent by Developmental Pathways (DP) for the entirety of Fiscal Year 2019 (7/1/2018—6/30/2019).

The report summarizes RFPs sent for the following IDD programs: HCBS-CES, HCBS-DD, HCBS-SLS, and State SLS.

This report is prepared annually by the Quality Assurance team in the Program Quality department based on centralized tracking for all RFPs in the given year. Data are current as of 10/3/19.

## Table of Contents

Overview .....	1
What is an RFP and why does it matter? .....	2
Definitions .....	3
Overview of Total RFPs .....	4
Summary by Program .....	5
Summary by Provider .....	8
Summary of Resent RFPs .....	11
RFP No Response Reporting.....	13
RFPs with a Family Care Giver Request.....	15
New/Established Service RFPs .....	17
RFP Final Status Reporting (“No Placement Found” Reporting).....	19
Geographical Analysis (“No Placement Found” Reporting).....	22

## What is an RFP and why does it matter?

Requests for Proposals (RFPs) are one strategy for connecting individuals in need of service(s) to providers of the service(s). The process of this kind of RFP is unique to Community Centered Boards (CCBs) and is intended to help facilitate choice for individuals. The need for service(s) is identified by the individual/family with assistance from the Case Manager. The Case Manager develops a corresponding and de-identified request that is sent out to approved providers in the Developmental Pathways catchment area.

Providers then express interest in providing the service for the individual. The individual and his/her family are then provided with a list of interested providers. From this list, providers can be vetted and chosen based on the individual & family preferences. The overall process is intended to help facilitate choice from willing providers.

This report provides information on the outcomes of the RFP process: Positive responses from providers to RFPs can mean a few things: the provider may be interested in serving to a new customer, willing to try to meet the unique needs outlined, and/or may be willing to work with the family through some type of transition period. Likewise, negative (or a lack of) responses to RFPs can also mean a few things: providers may not be able to meet the stated needs, may not have openings for the service at the moment, and/or they indicative of a systemic provider capacity issue for the service that is requested.

## Definitions

Term Used in Report	Definition/ Explanation
<b>CES:</b> (Children's Extensive Support Services Waiver)	Provides services to children with developmental delays or disabilities who have extraordinary care and supervision needs. Available for children from birth to age 18.
<b>DD:</b> (Developmental Disabilities Waiver)	Also sometimes referred to as the residential or comprehensive waiver. Provides services, including residential services, to adults with developmental or intellectual disabilities who are 18 years or older.
<b>DP Affiliated Entity:</b>	Continuum of Colorado, a Program Approved Service Agency (PASA) serving multiple catchment areas and providing a variety of direct service supports.
<b>FSSP:</b> (Family Support Services Program)	Provides financial assistance to families to aid them with the costs of caring for an individual with a developmental disability or delay.
<b>Local RFPs:</b>	Local RFPs are RFPs sent on behalf of individuals who are served by Developmental Pathways and (generally) reside in our catchment area; most "Local" RFPs are sent (only) to providers in our catchment area, though a handful may have requested statewide distribution.
<b>RFPs Listed as Active:</b>	Total number of RFPs where the final status was not reported and/or which remain open as of the date the reporting was pulled. There were no remaining requests active as of the date of analysis.
<b>RFPs Placed:</b>	Total number of RFPs with placement reported.
<b>RFPs Submitted:</b>	Total number of unique lines on the RFP tracking; people in services may have multiple lines when multiple RFPs have been sent for the same person.
<b>RFPs With No Placement:</b>	Total number of RFPs where no placement was found, and the outcome was reported.
<b>RFPs Withdrawn:</b>	Total number of RFPs where the case manager, person in services, and/or guardian requested that the RFP be marked withdrawn.
<b>SLS:</b> (Supported Living Services)	Provides services for adults with developmental or intellectual disabilities who live with unpaid caretakers, or who live independently in the community.
<b>State SLS:</b> (State Funded Supported Living Services)	Provides supports to individuals with an intellectual or developmental disability to remain in their community; the program is fully state-funded (not waiver-funded); ongoing State SL supports mirror the services approved under the HCBS-SLS waiver.
<b>Statewide RFPs:</b>	For the purpose of this report, these requests are RFPs that DP has received from another CCB to be sent out on their behalf to the providers in our catchment area (most often for out of area placement); Developmental Pathways does not track outcomes for statewide RFPs.
<b>Unique Individuals:</b>	Multiple RFPs may be sent out for one individual within the year. Unique individual counts only how many distinct individuals are represented in the data, regardless if multiple RFPs were sent on their behalf.

## Overview of Total RFPs

This table provides an overall view of the total RFPs in FY19

<b>Total Number of RFPs Sent<sup>1</sup>:</b>	<b>3,134</b>
<b>RFPs sent for waiver funded IDD supports:</b>	<b>2,971</b>
Sent Statewide waiver funded IDD supports:	112
Sent Local for waiver funded IDD supports:	2,859
<b>RFPs sent for State SLS funded supports:</b>	<b>144</b>
Sent Statewide for State SLS funded supports:	11
Sent Local for State SLS funded supports:	133
<b>RFPs sent for private pay funded supports:</b>	<b>14</b>
Sent Statewide for private pay funded supports:	0
Sent Local for private pay funded supports:	14
<b>RFPs sent for other supports:</b>	<b>5</b>
Sent for individuals enrolled in FSSP:	2
Sent for individuals to meet other needs:	3

Of the 3134 RFPs sent, 3006 (or 96%) were considered local RFPs (sent on behalf of individuals who are served by Developmental Pathways and (generally) reside in our catchment area). The following table provides some key metrics related to the 3006 local RFPs in FY19

<b>Total Number of Local RFPs Sent:</b>	<b>3,006</b>
<b>RFPs sent with 1 or more positive responses:</b>	<b>2,768</b>
<b>RFPs sent with no response:</b>	<b>238</b>
<b>RFPs sent that resulted in placement:</b>	<b>967</b>
<b>Unique individuals represented:</b>	<b>1,183</b>
<b>Individuals with multiple RFPs sent out:</b>	<b>732</b>


<sup>1</sup> Most of this report focuses on “Local RFPs” only; statewide (non-local) and other RFPs are not (generally) included in most reporting outcomes.

## Summary by Program

### By RFPs Facilitated

3,006 Local RFPs were facilitated by Developmental Pathways in fiscal year 2019. Of those RFPs:

- **1,236 (41%)** sent for individuals in **HCBS-CES** program
- **1,046 (35%)** sent for individuals in **HCBS-SLS** program
- **591 (20%)** sent for individuals in **HCBS-DD** program
- **133 (4%)** sent for individuals in **STATE-SLS** program



### By RFP Outcomes

Of the 3,006 Local RFPs that were facilitated:

#### 967 (32%) were placed


- **374 (39%)** placed were for **CES**
- **323 (33%)** placed were for **SLS**
- **247 (26%)** placed were for **DD**
- **23 (2%)** placed were for **STATE-SLS**

#### 1,307 (44%) were withdrawn

- **524 (40%)** placed were for **CES**
- **480 (37%)** placed were for **SLS**
- **228 (17%)** placed were for **DD**
- **75 (6%)** placed were for **STATE-SLS**

#### 732 (24%) resulted in no placement found


- **338 (46%)** placed were for **CES**
- **243 (33%)** placed were for **SLS**
- **116 (16%)** placed were for **DD**
- **35 (5%)** placed were for **STATE-SLS**



### Percent of Individuals Placed with a DP Affiliated Entity

Of the 967 Local RFPs that resulted in placement with a provider, clients and families chose Continuum of Colorado, Developmental Pathways' affiliated entity, for placement a total of 39 times, accounting for 4% of all placements.

- **17** placed with COC, **357** not with COC for individuals in **HCBS-CES** program
- **14** placed with COC, **309** not with COC for individuals in **HCBS-SLS** program
- **5** placed with COC, **242** not with COC for individuals in **HCBS-DD** program
- **3** placed with COC, **20** not with COC for individuals in **STATE-SLS** program




### Average Number of Days Between RFPs Sent and the Start Date of Services

For Local RFPs that resulted in placement, across all programs there was an average of 67 days between when the RFP was sent to providers and when the individual began services with the chosen provider.

This span includes time for the providers to review and respond to the request; time for the case manager to forward the responses to the person in services and/or their representative(s); time for the person in services to meet with, interview, and select providers; and time for the team to determine the amount/scope/frequency and duration of services and revise the service plan.

- 67 days on average for **HCBS-CES** program
- 65 days on average for **HCBS-SLS** program
- 69 days on average for **HCBS-DD** program
- 74 days on average for **STATE-SLS** program



## Summary by Provider

This chart shows the frequency with which each provider was selected to provide services as a result of the RFP process, by waiver. Providers with a single placement are listed below the chart.

Provider Selected	CES	SLS	DD	Total
Integrated Life Choices	24	44	23	<b>91</b>
Williams World LLC	65	20	3	<b>88</b>
Continuum of Colorado	17	17	5	<b>39</b>
The Child and Family Therapy Center of Denver	31	5	0	<b>36</b>
Mountain Lane Home Healthcare LLC	15	20	0	<b>35</b>
VitalCare	22	1	0	<b>23</b>
Complete Care Counseling Agency LLC	4	15	3	<b>22</b>
Marti L Perkins	11	11	0	<b>22</b>
Dunamis Home Health Services LLC	9	11	0	<b>20</b>
Sample Supports	2	9	8	<b>19</b>
Thrive Community Options	0	2	16	<b>18</b>
Angels of Colorado	9	7	1	<b>17</b>
Peoples Day Services	10	2	5	<b>17</b>
R&A Home Care	17	0	0	<b>17</b>
Angels Service LLC	13	2	1	<b>16</b>
TLC Connection	0	2	13	<b>15</b>
Personal Assistance Services of Colorado	4	2	7	<b>13</b>
Support Inc	0	1	12	<b>13</b>
SEL LLC DBA Rehabilitative Rhythms	9	3	0	<b>12</b>
Parker Personal Care Homes	2	2	7	<b>11</b>
Real Care Inc	0	0	11	<b>11</b>
To The Rescue	0	4	7	<b>11</b>
Encouraging Development	0	7	3	<b>10</b>
Naz Adventures Inc	2	8	0	<b>10</b>
Shared Touch Inc	0	10	0	<b>10</b>
Divine Royal Care LLC	0	4	6	<b>10</b>
A Cognitive Connection LLC	0	8	1	<b>9</b>
EverClean Colorado	8	1	0	<b>9</b>
Gentle Touch Massage Therapy	4	5	0	<b>9</b>
Metro Music Therapy Inc	4	5	0	<b>9</b>
More Maitri Inc	0	3	6	<b>9</b>
Deluxe Homehealth Care Agency	3	4	1	<b>8</b>
Hope Services Center LLC	5	3	0	<b>8</b>
Vantage Plus Inc	7	0	1	<b>8</b>
Alternative Support	0	1	6	<b>7</b>
Behavior Services of the Rockies	0	3	4	<b>7</b>



Provider Selected	CES	SLS	DD	Total
Cottonwood Community Alternatives Inc	0	1	6	7
Dungarvin	0	1	6	7
Golden Medical Transportation	6	0	1	7
Laradon	0	2	5	7
Megans Place	7	0	0	7
Rocky Mountain Human Services	0	0	7	7
Taylors Therapeutic Touch	3	4	0	7
Act of Kindness	0	6	0	6
Developmental Disabilities Resource Center	0	6	0	6
Garden Inc	3	3	0	6
GoldStar Learning Options Inc	6	0	0	6
Angels Family LLC	2	3	0	5
Ascent Music Therapy	5	0	0	5
Belk Model LLC	1	3	1	5
Bethesda Lutheran Communities	0	3	2	5
Homeward Inc	0	2	3	5
Innovative Services of Colorado	0	3	2	5
Insight Behavior Partnership	0	1	4	5
Life Enrichment Opportunities LLC	1	4	0	5
Life House Services LLC	0	3	2	5
Stepping Stone Support Center Inc	0	4	1	5
A1 Home Care	3	0	1	4
Actualize Behavior Alternatives LLC	0	2	2	4
Anchor Consultation and Care LLC	0	4	0	4
Community Intersections	0	1	3	4
Families for Families	0	0	4	4
Hands to Heart Therapeutic Massage	2	2	0	4
Loving Heart Home Care	3	1	0	4
Multiple	2	1	1	4
New Genesis LLC	0	1	3	4
Promise Ranch Therapeutic Riding	4	0	0	4
Rehoboth Home Support	2	0	2	4
Spectrum	0	3	1	4
Achieving an Independent Me	3	0	0	3
Caring Hands Transport	0	2	1	3
Caring Voices	1	2	0	3
CentrePoint Support Living LLC	1	2	0	3
Compass Care Supports	0	3	0	3
Esther House	1	1	1	3
HighPointe	0	3	0	3

Provider Selected	CES	SLS	DD	Total
Mountain State Medical Massage	1	2	0	3
Moved by Music LLC	3	0	0	3
Overture	0	0	3	3
Accent on Independence	1	0	1	2
Alternative Choices	0	0	2	2
Amy Wilson MTBC	1	1	0	2
Ariel Clinical Services	0	0	2	2
Community Choices Day Services	0	2	0	2
Discovery Avenues LLC	0	1	1	2
Excel Services Network	2	0	0	2
EZ Connections LLC	2	0	0	2
Goodwill Industries of Denver	0	2	0	2
Idox System LLC	0	1	1	2
Kalis and Associates	0	1	1	2
Michelle Kerska BCBA PC	0	1	1	2
Mosaic	0	0	2	2
Novus Behavior Associates LLC	0	0	2	2
Queens Care in Home	2	0	0	2
Smith Agency	0	0	2	2
Triuspa LLC	0	2	0	2
United Providers	2	0	0	2
Wellspring Community	0	2	0	2
<b>Totals</b>	<b>367</b>	<b>334</b>	<b>227</b>	<b>928</b>



**The following providers were each selected once:**

A Better Life Experience	Clinton Home Care Inc	Jewish Family Services
Ability Specialists	Collaborative Services for	Shalom Denver
Above and Beyond	Change	Lighthouse Residential
Enterprises	Colorado Autism Consultants	Loving Life Host Homes
Acting Up Seminars LLC	Colorado Choice Care	New Day in Home Support
Activity Options	Services	Opportunity in Living
Adams Camp	Community Advantage	Residential
Alfabest Home Care	Creative Personal Care	Pueblo Regional Center
Allies LLC	Services	Right at Home Denver Metro
Angel Group Home	Easter Seals	RM3C LLC
Autism Behavioral and	Enabled Inc	Scout Cleaning
Educational Consulting	Excellency Mobility	Shauna L Casement PsyD PC
Bending Birch Behavioral	Freedom Career Services	Sister Sister Home Care
Services LLC	Hope Farms Project	Sozo SSS International
Care and Community	Imagine	Trellis
Choice Living Services		Walkabout Village


## Summary of Resent RFPs

In order to garner positive responses for individuals seeking supports, current procedure asks that RFPs with limited or no responses get resent.


In FY19, the protocol was: If the due date for an RFP passes with 2 or fewer responses (or if the Case Manager specifically requested a resend), the RFP was automatically resent (known as the 1<sup>st</sup> Resend). The process continued with each subsequent due date up to three times with the goal of receiving more responses. This means an RFP may have been resent up to a total of 3 times after the initial sending.



**DD RFPs: Initial, 1st, 2nd, 3rd Resends and Closure Status**



**CES, SLS, and DD RFPs: Initial, 1st, 2nd, 3rd Resends and Closure Status**




## RFP No Response Reporting

Of the Local 3.006 RFPs sent, 238 received no responses. A lack of response on an RFP can mean many different things including:

- Providers may not be able to meet the stated needs including, but not limited to
  - Frequency and/or duration requested
  - Time of day requested
  - Type and/or intensity of support requested
  - Location of the requested supported
- Providers may not have openings for the stated supports at the time they are requested

A lack of responses can also be indicative of a systemic provider capacity and/or rate issues for the service that is requested.

This chart shows the number of RFPs that received no positive responses compared to the total number of RFPs sent requesting each service.



### Results by Service:

The following table details the numbers and percentages of RFPs per service receiving no response. Services with the highest percentage of RFPs submitted are highlighted.

Results by Service					
Service Category	RFPs Submitted	% RFPs Submitted	No Positive Responses	% No Positive Responses	At least One Positive Response
Hippotherapy	96	3%	64	67%	32
Transportation	48	2%	14	29%	34
Prevocational Services	32	1%	8	25%	24
Behavioral Services	209	7%	24	11%	185
Homemaker Services	417	14%	45	11%	372
Personal Care	136	5%	12	9%	124
Massage Therapy	231	8%	14	6%	217
Mentorship	161	5%	8	5%	153
Respite	491	16%	23	5%	468
Community Connector	204	7%	9	4%	195
Supported Employment	105	3%	4	4%	101
Movement Therapy	242	8%	6	2%	236
Day Habilitation	412	14%	4	1%	408
Residential Services	222	7%	3	1%	219
<b>Totals</b>	<b>3006</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>2768</b>

### Results by Program

Requests for services made by individuals on the HCBS-CES waiver were most likely to garner no responses.

Results by Program				
Program	Number of Requests	Number of Requests with No Responses	At Least One Positive Response	% No Positive Response
CES	1236	131	1105	4%
SLS/ State SLS	1179	71	1108	2%
DD	591	36	555	1%
<b>Totals</b>	<b>3006</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>2768</b>	<b>8%</b>

## Results by Support Level

Most (though not all) RFPs in FY19 included a support. Depending on the program, support levels can relate to both specific service rates and /or overall total available annual spending limits.

The least likely requests to garner a positive response for individuals on the SLS and DD waivers were those with no support level or those with a support level of 1 or 7. There are no significant differences among the rate of no response among the other support levels.

Results by SIS Support Level (HCBS-SLS and HCBS-DD Waivers)				
Support Level	Total Requests	Number with No Response	Number of Requests with at Least One Positive Response	Percent with No Response
1	232	23	209	10%
2	635	27	608	4%
3	239	13	226	5%
4	226	10	216	4%
5	241	15	226	6%
6	175	16	159	9%
7	10	1	9	10%
No Support Level	12	2	10	17%
<b>Totals</b>	<b>1770</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>1663</b>	<b>6%</b>

## RFPs with a Family Care Giver Request


The Family Caregiver Act allows for the delivery of services and supports for persons with developmental disabilities to be provided by a family caregiver.

Family caregivers must currently be employed by (or contracted with) a Program Approved Service Agency (PASA) to receive payment for the delivery of services to an individual.

Family Care Giver Placement					
Program	Total FCG Requests	Number Not Placed	Percent of FCG Requests Not Placed	Total Number RFPs	Percent of All Requests Made
CES	187	23	12%	1236	15%
SLS/ State SLS	173	21	12%	1179	15%
DD	74	2	3%	591	12%
<b>Totals</b>	<b>434</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>3006</b>	<b>14%</b>

Family Care Giver (FCG) is most requested from individuals on the CES and SLS waivers, likely because of the added stability of family members providing services.

The charts below show the number of RFPs with a Family Care Giver request that were placed/completed, withdrawn, or no placement was found, for each waiver; the SLS numbers include State SLS



The following table shows the number of placed/completed Family Care Giver RFP requests by service. Services that did not have any Family Care Giver requests have been excluded.


Service Category	No Placement Found	Withdrawn	Placed/Completed	Total FCG Requests	Total RFP Requests	% Placed
Respite	19	62	57	138	494	32%
Homemaker Services	7	39	26	72	417	17%
Residential Services	0	12	62	74	223	17%
Community Connector	9	26	23	58	205	13%
Personal Care	3	22	18	43	136	10%
Mentorship	2	14	8	24	161	6%
Day Habilitation	3	11	5	19	412	4%
Transportation	2	1	1	4	48	1%
Supported Employment	1	1	0	2	105	0%
<b>Totals</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>188</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>434</b>	<b>2196</b>	<b>9%</b>



## New/Established Service RFPs

Requested services were also tracked by whether the service was an established service on the individual's plan, or if it was a new service for the individual.

The following chart shows the number of new services compared to established services, by service category.



The following tables show the number of new and established RFPs requested, by service, in order of most requested (total requests)

Results for All New Services Requested

Of new services, Respite, Homemaker and Day Habilitation are the most requested services (highlighted green). Hippotherapy services are the least likely to be placed (highlighted blue).

New Service RFPs				
Service	No Placement Found	Total Requests	% Not Placed	% New Service Requests Made
Respite	97	370	26%	16%
Homemaker Services	74	310	24%	14%
Day Habilitation	51	259	20%	11%
Movement Therapy	50	210	24%	9%
Massage Therapy	51	204	25%	9%
Behavioral Services	43	167	26%	7%
Community Connector	34	158	22%	7%
Residential Services	13	140	9%	6%
Mentorship	34	136	25%	6%
Personal Care	24	110	22%	5%
Hippotherapy	52	93	56%	4%
Supported Employment	15	79	19%	3%
Prevocational Services	13	32	41%	1%
Transportation	10	27	37%	1%
Totals	561	2295	24%	

Results for Established Services

Of established services, as with new services, Day Habilitation, Respite, and Homemaker services are the most requested services (highlighted green). Community Connector is the least likely to be placed (highlighted blue). There were no RFPs for prevocational services that were already established services for the individual.

Established Service				
Service	No Placement Found	Total Requests	% Not Placed	% Established Service Requests Made
Respite	33	121	27%	17%
Homemaker Services	34	107	32%	15%
Day Habilitation	29	153	19%	22%
Movement Therapy	6	32	19%	5%
Massage Therapy	6	27	22%	4%
Behavioral Services	13	42	31%	6%
Community Connector	16	46	35%	6%
Residential Services	11	82	13%	12%
Mentorship	4	25	16%	4%
Personal Care	6	26	23%	4%
Hippotherapy	0	3	0%	0%
Supported Employment	6	26	23%	4%
Transportation	7	21	33%	3%
Totals	171	711	24%	

### RFP Final Status Reporting (“No Placement Found” Reporting)

The following table shows the frequency at which specific services are placed, withdrawn, or result in no placement being found, sorted in order of the services for which a provider is most frequently not found (percent not placed).

Services with the highest percent of requests made are highlighted.

Service Requested	Total Requests	No Placement Found	Withdrawn	Placed/ Completed	% Not Placed	% Requests Made
Hippotherapy	96	52	40	4	54%	3%
Prevocational Services	32	13	15	4	41%	1%
Transportation	48	17	17	14	35%	2%
Behavioral Services	209	56	100	53	26%	16%
Respite	491	130	194	167	26%	14%
Homemaker Services	417	108	185	124	27%	7%
Massage Therapy	231	57	123	51	25%	7%
Community Connector	204	50	82	72	25%	7%
Mentorship	161	38	73	50	24%	5%
Movement Therapy	242	56	112	74	23%	8%
Personal Care	136	30	63	43	22%	4%
Supported Employment	105	21	48	36	20%	4%
Day Habilitation	412	80	190	142	19%	14%
Residential Services	222	24	65	133	11%	9%
Totals	3006	732	1307	967	24%	

### Results for by Specific Program for Requested Supports

The following tables show the frequency of RFPs being placed, withdrawn, or not placed by program and by service. Again, the services for each program that are most frequently requested are highlighted.

CES						
Service Requested	Total Requests	No Placement Found	Withdrawn	Placed/ Completed	% Not Placed	% Requests Made
Hippotherapy	85	46	35	4	54%	7%
Residential Services <sup>2</sup>	3	1	0	2	33%	0%
Homemaker Services	241	67	102	72	28%	19%
Respite	345	90	124	131	26%	28%
Massage Therapy	155	40	84	31	26%	13%
Community Connector	204	50	82	72	25%	16%
Movement Therapy	198	43	93	62	22%	16%
Behavioral Services	5	1	4	0	20%	0%
Totals	1236	338	524	374	27%	

<sup>2</sup> Residential RFPs for individuals on the CES waiver were to preemptively find residential placement before enrolling onto the DD waiver.  
Report Prepared by the Program Quality Department

SLS						
Service Requested	Total Requests	No Placement Found	Withdrawn	Placed/ Completed	% Not Placed	% Requests Made
Hippotherapy	11	6	5	0	55%	1%
Transportation	23	10	6	7	43%	2%
Prevocational Services	7	2	3	2	29%	1%
Movement Therapy	43	12	19	12	28%	3%
Respite	146	40	70	36	27%	12%
Behavioral Services	82	22	44	16	27%	7%
Mentorship	158	37	71	50	23%	13%
Homemaker Services	176	41	83	52	23%	14%
Massage Therapy	75	17	38	20	23%	6%
Personal Care	136	30	63	43	22%	11%
Day Habilitation	257	50	121	86	19%	21%
Supported Employment	55	10	30	15	18%	4%
Residential Services	10	1	2	7	10%	1%
<b>Totals</b>	<b>1179</b>	<b>278</b>	<b>555</b>	<b>346</b>	<b>24%</b>	

DD						
Category of Service Requested	Total Requests	No Placement Found	Withdrawn	Placed/ Completed	% Not Placed	% Requests Made
Movement Therapy	1	1	0	0	100%	0%
Prevocational Services	25	11	12	2	44%	2%
Mentorship	3	1	2	0	33%	0%
Transportation	25	7	11	7	28%	2%
Behavioral Services	122	33	52	37	27%	10%
Supported Employment	50	11	18	21	22%	4%
Day Habilitation	152	30	68	54	20%	12%
Residential Services	209	22	63	124	11%	17%
Massage Therapy	1	0	1	0	0%	0%
<b>Totals</b>	<b>591</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>247</b>	<b>20%</b>	

**Results by Month**

This table shows the frequency of RFPs being placed, withdrawn, or not placed by month. There are no significant differences between months regarding the number of requests made.

Month	Total Requests (% of Annual total)	No Placement Found (% of Total)	Withdrawn	Placed/Completed
January	237 (8%)	42 (18%)	123	72
February	245 (8%)	46 (19%)	114	85
March	251 (8%)	81 (32%)	104	66
April	325 (11%)	83 (26%)	139	103
May	342 (11%)	86 (25%)	162	94
June	237 (8%)	72 (30%)	89	76
July	246 (8%)	65 (26%)	93	88
August	318 (11%)	68 (21%)	147	103
September	208 (7%)	59 (28%)	90	59
October	235 (8%)	58 (25%)	90	87
November	179 (6%)	35 (20%)	79	65
December	183 (8%)	37 (18%)	77	69
<b>Totals</b>	<b>3006</b>	<b>732 (24%)</b>	<b>1307</b>	<b>967</b>

### Results by Support Level (all Programs)

This table shows the frequency of RFPs being placed, withdrawn, or not placed, by listed support level.

Note: Individuals in the HCBS-CES waiver do not have support levels. These tables only include RFPs for which we had/tracked support levels.

Support Level	Total Requests	No Placement Found	Withdrawn	Placed/ Completed	% Not Placed	% Requests Made
1	232	41	123	68	18%	13%
2	635	155	258	222	24%	36%
3	239	50	119	70	21%	14%
4	226	49	104	73	22%	13%
5	241	59	96	86	24%	14%
6	175	35	69	71	20%	10%
7	10	1	7	2	10%	1%
<b>Totals</b>	<b>1758</b>	<b>390</b>	<b>776</b>	<b>592</b>	<b>22%</b>	

### Results by Program by Support Level

These tables show the rate of no placement by support level by program.

Note: Support Level 7 only applies to individuals enrolled in the HCBS-DD waiver; SLS results may include State SLS outcomes for RFPs which included the assigned Service Level Spending Limit (SLSL), which is similar in function to a SIS Support Level.

SLS						
Support Level	Total Requests	No Placement Found	Withdrawn	Placed/ Completed	% Not Placed	% Requests Made
1	202	36	113	53	18%	17%
2	538	142	220	176	26%	46%
3	163	27	92	44	17%	14%
4	110	32	54	24	29%	9%
5	103	28	45	30	27%	9%
6	51	9	24	18	18%	4%
<b>Totals</b>	<b>1167</b>	<b>274</b>	<b>548</b>	<b>345</b>	<b>23%</b>	

DD						
Support Level	Total Requests	No Placement Found	Withdrawn	Placed/ Completed	% Not Placed	% Requests Made
1	30	5	10	15	17%	5%
2	96	12	38	46	13%	16%
3	76	23	27	26	30%	13%
4	115	16	50	49	14%	20%
5	138	31	51	56	22%	23%
6	123	26	44	53	21%	21%
7	10	1	7	2	10%	2%
<b>Totals</b>	<b>588</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>227</b>	<b>247</b>	<b>19%</b>	

### Geographical Analysis (“No Placement Found” Reporting)

The following tables present a geographical analysis of the requests where no placement was found, by county and city and zip code. The geographical analysis is based on the current residential address of the individual for whom the RFP was sent.

Note that the total RFPs by each grouping do not match the total requests seen elsewhere in this report; these charts show data only for requests not placed, therefore geographical areas (counties, cities, and zip codes) where all RFPs were placed do not appear with these data.

Statewide RFPs are not included with these data, since we do not have geographical information for those individuals.

#### Results by County of Residence

County	Number of RFPs with No Placement	Total RFPs	Percent of Requests Made	Percent Not Placed
Adams	20	91	3%	22%
Arapahoe	393	1688	56%	23%
Boulder	0	4	0%	0%
Denver	67	223	7%	30%
Douglas	218	876	29%	25%
Elbert	7	24	1%	29%
Elpaso	1	14	0%	7%
Garfield	1	1	0%	100%
Jefferson	20	54	2%	37%
Larimer	0	1	0%	0%
Mesa	0	4	0%	0%
Pueblo	2	9	0%	22%
Weld	3	17	1%	18%
<b>Totals</b>	<b>732</b>	<b>3006</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>24%</b>

#### Results by City / Zip

City/Zip	No Placement Found	Placed/ Completed	Withdrawn	Total Requests	% Requests Made	% Not Placed
<b>Arvada</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
80002	0	1	2	3	0.0%	0.0%
80003	0	0	3	3	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Aurora</b>	<b>239</b>	<b>406</b>	<b>545</b>	<b>1190</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>20.1%</b>
80010	18	19	24	61	0.6%	29.5%
80011	16	52	49	117	0.5%	13.7%
80012	19	31	65	115	0.6%	16.5%
80013	49	93	143	285	1.6%	17.2%
80014	20	29	45	94	0.7%	21.3%
80015	39	42	56	137	1.3%	28.5%
80016	31	67	85	183	1.0%	16.9%
80017	27	46	59	132	0.9%	20.5%
80018	17	18	13	48	0.6%	35.4%
80019	1	6	2	9	0.0%	11.1%
80047	0	1	3	4	0.0%	0.0%
80111	0	1	0	1	0.0%	0.0%
80112	0	1	1	2	0.0%	0.0%
80249	0	0	0	0	0.0%	
88016	2	0	0	2	0.1%	100.0%
<b>Bennett</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>
80102	3	3	3	9	0.1%	33.3%



City/Zip	No Placement Found	Placed/ Completed	Withdrawn	Total Requests	% Requests Made	% Not Placed
<b>Boulder</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
80303	0	0	3	3	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Brighton</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>28.6%</b>
80601	2	3	2	7	0.1%	28.6%
<b>Carbondale</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
81623	1	0	0	1	0.0%	100.0%
<b>Castle Pines</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
80108	0	3	5	8	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Castle Rock</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>262</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>29.0%</b>
80104	25	31	44	100	0.8%	25.0%
80108	21	16	38	75	0.7%	28.0%
80109	30	21	36	87	1.0%	34.5%
<b>Centennial</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>261</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>34.9%</b>
80015	28	22	23	73	0.9%	38.4%
80016	6	10	6	22	0.2%	27.3%
80111	5	7	4	16	0.2%	31.3%
80112	9	17	19	45	0.3%	20.0%
80121	13	5	16	34	0.4%	38.2%
80122	27	23	15	65	0.9%	41.5%
80134	3	2	0	5	0.1%	60.0%
90232	0	1	0	1	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Cherry Hills Village</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
80113	0	1	4	5	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Colorado Springs</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>
80908	0	4	1	5	0.0%	0.0%
80915	1	1	1	3	0.0%	33.3%
80919	0	3	3	6	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Commerce City</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>18.2%</b>
80022	2	3	6	11	0.1%	18.2%
<b>Dacono</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
80514	3	0	0	3	0.1%	100.0%
<b>Deer Trail</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
80105	0	2	2	4	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Denver</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>273</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>29.3%</b>
80014	1	0	2	3	0.0%	33.3%
80201	3	2	3	8	0.1%	37.5%
80204	0	1	1	2	0.0%	0.0%
80205	2	0	2	4	0.1%	50.0%
80209	0	1	0	1	0.0%	0.0%
80216	7	7	4	18	0.2%	38.9%
80219	28	17	52	97	0.9%	28.9%
80220	1	0	0	1	0.0%	100.0%
80221	0	0	2	2	0.0%	0.0%
80222	4	3	2	9	0.1%	44.4%
80224	1	0	0	1	0.0%	100.0%
80227	0	1	2	3	0.0%	0.0%
80229	0	1	0	1	0.0%	0.0%
80230	1	1	3	5	0.0%	20.0%
80231	3	5	14	22	0.1%	13.6%
80235	0	0	2	2	0.0%	0.0%
80236	0	1	3	4	0.0%	0.0%
80237	1	0	1	2	0.0%	50.0%
80238	0	0	4	4	0.0%	0.0%
80239	3	9	5	17	0.1%	17.6%
80247	12	9	10	31	0.4%	38.7%
80249	13	15	8	36	0.4%	36.1%
<b>Elizabeth</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>9.1%</b>
80107	1	1	9	11	0.0%	9.1%

Report Prepared by the Program Quality Department





City/Zip	No Placement Found	Placed/ Completed	Withdrawn	Total Requests	% Requests Made	% Not Placed
<b>Englewood</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>24.5%</b>
80016	1	0	3	4	0.0%	25.0%
80110	11	9	19	39	0.4%	28.2%
80111	6	7	10	23	0.2%	26.1%
80112	13	10	16	39	0.4%	33.3%
80113	6	14	25	45	0.2%	13.3%
80204	0	0	1	1	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Erie</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
80516	0	3	3	6	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Fort Collins</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
80525	0	0	1	1	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Franktown</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>37.5%</b>
80116	6	4	6	16	0.2%	37.5%
<b>Glendale</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>66.7%</b>
80246	2	1	0	3	0.1%	66.7%
<b>Golden</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
80403	3	0	0	3	0.1%	100.0%
<b>Grand Junction</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
81507	0	0	4	4	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Greenwood Village</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>34.6%</b>
80111	9	13	4	26	0.3%	34.6%
<b>Highlands Ranch</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>27.4%</b>
80126	35	33	36	104	1.2%	33.7%
80129	7	8	24	39	0.2%	17.9%
80130	10	13	24	47	0.3%	21.3%
<b>Kiowa</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
80117	0	0	2	2	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Lakewood</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>26.9%</b>
80125	0	2	2	4	0.0%	0.0%
80214	0	0	2	2	0.0%	0.0%
80215	0	3	0	3	0.0%	0.0%
80226	7	5	4	16	0.2%	43.8%
80227	0	0	1	1	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Larkspur</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>
80118	1	1	1	3	0.0%	33.3%
<b>Littleton</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>21.0%</b>
80120	11	20	17	48	0.4%	22.9%
80121	0	0	1	1	0.0%	0.0%
80122	4	2	6	12	0.1%	33.3%
80123	3	1	0	4	0.1%	75.0%
80124	2	5	2	9	0.1%	22.2%
80125	4	22	9	35	0.1%	11.4%
80126	0	1	2	3	0.0%	0.0%
80127	3	4	2	9	0.1%	33.3%
80128	1	9	7	17	0.0%	5.9%
80129	2	3	0	5	0.1%	40.0%
<b>Lone Tree</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>
80124	2	10	22	34	0.1%	5.9%
<b>Monument</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
80132	0	1	3	4	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Parker</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>263</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>24.7%</b>
80114	0	0	2	2	0.0%	0.0%
80134	43	57	69	169	1.4%	25.4%
80138	22	33	37	92	0.7%	23.9%
<b>Pine</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
80421	0	2	5	7	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Pueblo</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>
81007	1	2	3	6	0.0%	16.7%

Report Prepared by the Program Quality Department



City/Zip	No Placement Found	Placed/ Completed	Withdrawn	Total Requests	% Requests Made	% Not Placed
<b>Pueblo West</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>25.0%</b>
81007	1	0	3	4	0.0%	25.0%
<b>Sedalia</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>42.9%</b>
80138	3	0	0	3	0.1%	100.0%
80135	0	1	3	4	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Sheridan</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>50.0%</b>
80110	1	0	1	2	0.0%	50.0%
<b>Strasburg</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>54.5%</b>
80136	6	1	4	11	0.2%	54.5%
<b>Superior</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
80027	0	0	1	1	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Thornton</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
80229	0	2	2	4	0.0%	0.0%
80241	0	0	3	3	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Westminster</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>42.9%</b>
80234	0	3	0	3	0.0%	0.0%
80031	3	0	1	4	0.1%	75.0%
<b>Wheat Ridge</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>57.1%</b>
80033	4	3	0	7	0.1%	57.1%
<b>Windsor</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
80550	0	0	4	4	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Requests</b>	<b>732</b>	<b>967</b>	<b>1307</b>	<b>3006</b>		

The final table (spread across the following 5 pages) shows the rate of “No Placement” by both city and service, showing which supports are most needed in which locations. The cities are sorted such that cities with the highest total number of requests are at the top. The cells highlighted in the columns “Percent Not Placed” and “Percent Requested” are those that are above average for that service.

	Respite				Day Habilitation				Massage Therapy				Homemaker Services				Movement Therapy				Behavioral Services				Residential Services			
	Number Not Placed	Number Requested	Percent Not Placed	Percent Requested	Number Not Placed	Number Requested	Percent Not Placed	Percent Requested	Number Not Placed	Number Requested	Percent Not Placed	Percent Requested	Number Not Placed	Number Requested	Percent Not Placed	Percent Requested	Number Not Placed	Number Requested	Percent Not Placed	Percent Requested	Number Not Placed	Number Requested	Percent Not Placed	Percent Requested	Number Not Placed	Number Requested	Percent Not Placed	Percent Requested
Aurora	43	189	23%	38%	24	167	14%	34%	13	84	15%	17%	37	154	24%	31%	16	82	20%	16%	28	102	27%	21%	11	105	10%	21%
Denver	2	31	6%	6%	10	74	14%	15%	4	14	29%	3%	7	36	19%	7%	9	18	50%	4%	11	41	27%	8%	6	76	8%	15%
Parker	14	49	29%	10%	9	34	26%	7%	5	20	25%	4%	8	45	18%	9%	4	28	14%	6%	4	10	40%	2%	1	10	10%	2%
Castle Rock	17	54	31%	11%	7	26	27%	5%	7	29	24%	6%	14	49	29%	10%	8	32	25%	6%	2	5	40%	1%	0	7	0%	1%
Centennial	18	49	37%	10%	6	25	24%	5%	16	31	52%	6%	13	33	39%	7%	5	23	22%	5%	3	22	14%	4%	2	11	18%	2%
Highlands Ranch	12	35	34%	7%	7	25	28%	5%	5	17	29%	3%	3	25	12%	5%	7	21	33%	4%	2	6	33%	1%	0	6	0%	1%
Englewood	8	19	42%	4%	3	20	15%	4%	2	9	22%	2%	10	29	34%	6%	1	10	10%	2%	0	7	0%	1%	0	14	0%	3%
Littleton	6	33	18%	7%	3	17	18%	3%	1	11	9%	2%	10	23	43%	5%	2	12	17%	2%	0	7	0%	1%	0	8	0%	2%
Lone Tree	1	6	17%	1%	0	6	0%	1%	0	1	0%	0%	0	5	0%	1%	0	1	0%	0%	0	3	0%	1%	0	2	0%	0%
Greenwood Village	1	5	20%	1%	1	4	25%	1%	0	0		0%	1	2	50%	0%	0	1	0%	0%	2	2	100%	0%	0	1	0%	0%
Lakewood	0	3	0%	1%	3	7	43%	1%	0	1	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	1	0%	0%	1	2	50%	0%	0	4	0%	1%
Franktown	0	1	0%	0%	1	2	50%	0%	1	4	25%	1%	1	2	50%	0%	1	2	50%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Colorado Springs	0	0		0%	1	4	25%	1%	0	0		0%	0	2	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	3	0%	1%
Strasburg	2	3	67%	1%	0	3	0%	1%	1	2	50%	0%	0	0		0%	2	2	100%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Commerce City	0	1	0%	0%	0	1	0%	0%	1	2	50%	0%	0	0		0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	2	0%	0%
Elizabeth	0	1	0%	0%	1	3	33%	1%	0	1	0%	0%	0	3	0%	1%	0	2	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Bennett	0	0		0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	1	0%	0%	2	4	50%	1%	1	1	100%	0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	0		0%
Castle Pines	0	2	0%	0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	2	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Wheat Ridge	0	0		0%	1	1	100%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	1	3	33%	1%	1	2	50%	0%



	Respite				Day Habilitation				Massage Therapy				Homemaker Services				Movement Therapy				Behavioral Services				Residential Services			
	Number Not Placed	Number Requested	Percent Not Placed	Percent Requested	Number Not Placed	Number Requested	Percent Not Placed	Percent Requested	Number Not Placed	Number Requested	Percent Not Placed	Percent Requested	Number Not Placed	Number Requested	Percent Not Placed	Percent Requested	Number Not Placed	Number Requested	Percent Not Placed	Percent Requested	Number Not Placed	Number Requested	Percent Not Placed	Percent Requested	Number Not Placed	Number Requested	Percent Not Placed	Percent Requested
Sedalia	0	0		0%	1	2	50%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	1	2	50%	0%	0	2	0%	0%
Westminster	1	2	50%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	1	3	33%	1%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Brighton	1	3	33%	1%	0	2	0%	0%	0	0		0%	1	2	50%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Pine	0	0		0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	3	0%	1%	0	2	0%	0%
Thornton	0	2	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Pueblo	1	3	33%	1%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	2	0%	0%
Arvada	0	0		0%	0	3	0%	1%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	2	0%	0%
Erie	0	0		0%	0	2	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	2	0%	0%	0	2	0%	0%
Larkspur	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	1	3	33%	1%
Cherry Hills Village	0	1	0%	0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	1	0%	0%
Pueblo West	0	0		0%	1	2	50%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	2	0%	0%
Deer Trail	0	1	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Grand Junction	0	0		0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	2	0%	0%
Monument	0	0		0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Windsor	0	1	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Dacono	1	1	100%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Golden	0	0		0%	1	1	100%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	1	1	100%	0%	1	1	100%	0%
Glendale	1	1	100%	0%	0	0		0%	1	1	100%	0%	0	0		0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Boulder	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Sheridan	1	1	100%	0%	0	0		0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Kiowa	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Carbondale	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	1	1	100%	0%
Fort Collins	0	0		0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Superior	0	0		0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
	130	497	26%	100%	80	439	18%	88%	57	232	25%	47%	108	425	25%	86%	56	243	23%	49%	56	222	25%	45%	24	271	9%	55%



	Mentorship				Supported Employment				Personal Care				Transportation				Prevocational Services				Community Connector				Hippotherapy			
	Number Not Placed	Number Requested	Percent Not Placed	Percent Requested	Number Not Placed	Number Requested	Percent Not Placed	Percent Requested	Number Not Placed	Number Requested	Percent Not Placed	Percent Requested	Number Not Placed	Number Requested	Percent Not Placed	Percent Requested	Number Not Placed	Number Requested	Percent Not Placed	Percent Requested	Number Not Placed	Number Requested	Percent Not Placed	Percent Requested	Number Not Placed	Number Requested	Percent Not Placed	Percent Requested
Aurora	11	52	21%	10%	5	45	11%	9%	6	50	12%	10%	4	19	21%	4%	8	21	38%	4%	16	83	19%	17%	17	37	46%	7%
Denver	5	29	17%	6%	8	28	29%	6%	5	21	24%	4%	4	11	36%	2%	4	4	100%	1%	1	7	14%	1%	4	6	67%	1%
Parker	3	12	25%	2%	0	5	0%	1%	1	7	14%	1%	1	2	50%	0%	0	1	0%	0%	7	23	30%	5%	8	17	47%	3%
Castle Rock	6	11	55%	2%	1	5	20%	1%	5	11	45%	2%	2	3	67%	1%	0	1	0%	0%	3	22	14%	4%	4	7	57%	1%
Centennial	6	15	40%	3%	3	10	30%	2%	6	13	46%	3%	4	4	100%	1%	0	0		0%	6	21	29%	4%	3	4	75%	1%
Highlands Ranch	2	13	15%	3%	1	6	17%	1%	3	13	23%	3%	0	2	0%	0%	0	0		0%	5	13	38%	3%	5	8	63%	2%
Englewood	1	9	11%	2%	0	3	0%	1%	1	4	25%	1%	1	4	25%	1%	1	3	33%	1%	4	11	36%	2%	5	9	56%	2%
Littleton	1	7	14%	1%	0	0		0%	0	6	0%	1%	0	2	0%	0%	0	1	0%	0%	4	11	36%	2%	3	5	60%	1%
Lone Tree	0	4	0%	1%	0	0		0%	0	3	0%	1%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	1	3	33%	1%	0	0		0%
Greenwood Village	1	2	50%	0%	1	2	50%	0%	1	3	33%	1%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	1	4	25%	1%	0	0		0%
Lakewood	0	2	0%	0%	1	3	33%	1%	1	1	100%	0%	1	1	100%	0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Franktown	1	2	50%	0%	0	1	0%	0%	1	2	50%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Colorado Springs	0	2	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	2	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Strasburg	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	1	1	100%	0%
Commerce City	0	0		0%	0	3	0%	1%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	1	1	100%	0%
Elizabeth	0	1	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Bennett	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Castle Pines	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Wheat Ridge	0	0		0%	1	1	100%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Sedalia	1	1	100%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Westminster	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	1	2	50%	0%	0	0		0%
Brighton	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Pine	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%



	Mentorship				Supported Employment				Personal Care				Transportation				Prevocational Services				Community Connector				Hippotherapy			
	Number Not Placed	Number Requested	Percent Not Placed	Percent Requested	Number Not Placed	Number Requested	Percent Not Placed	Number Not Placed	Number Requested	Percent Not Placed	Percent Requested	Number Not Placed	Number Requested	Percent Not Placed	Number Requested	Percent Not Placed	Percent Requested	Number Not Placed	Number Requested	Percent Not Placed	Percent Requested	Number Not Placed	Number Requested	Percent Not Placed	Percent Requested	Number Not Placed	Number Requested	Percent Not Placed
Thornton	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	4	0%	1%	0	0		0%
Pueblo	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Arvada	0	0		0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Erie	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Larkspur	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Cherry Hills Village	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Pueblo West	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Deer Trail	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	0		0%
Grand Junction	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Monument	0	1	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Windsor	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	0		0%
Dacono	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	1	1	100%	0%	1	1	100%	0%
Golden	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Glendale	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Boulder	0	1	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	1	0%	0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Sheridan	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Kiowa	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Carbondale	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Fort Collins	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
Superior	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%	0	0		0%
	38	164	23%	33%	21	113	19%	23%	30	138	22%	28%	17	50	34%	10%	13	32	41%	6%	50	207	24%	42%	52	96	54%	19%

	Totals			
	Number Not Placed	Number Requested	Percent Not Placed	Percent Requested
Aurora	239	1190	20%	38%
Denver	80	396	20%	13%
Parker	65	263	25%	8%
Castle Rock	76	262	29%	8%
Centennial	91	261	35%	8%
Highlands Ranch	52	190	27%	6%
Englewood	37	151	25%	5%
Littleton	30	143	21%	5%
Lone Tree	2	34	6%	1%
Greenwood Village	9	26	35%	1%
Lakewood	7	26	27%	1%
Franktown	6	16	38%	1%
Colorado Springs	1	14	7%	0%
Strasburg	6	11	55%	0%
Commerce City	2	11	18%	0%
Elizabeth	1	11	9%	0%
Bennett	3	9	33%	0%
Castle Pines	0	8	0%	0%
Wheat Ridge	4	7	57%	0%
Sedalia	3	7	43%	0%
Westminster	3	7	43%	0%
Brighton	2	7	29%	0%
Pine	0	7	0%	0%
Thornton	0	7	0%	0%
Pueblo	1	6	17%	0%
Arvada	0	6	0%	0%
Erie	0	6	0%	0%
Larkspur	1	3	33%	0%
Cherry Hills Village	0	5	0%	0%
Pueblo West	1	4	25%	0%
Deer Trail	0	4	0%	0%
Grand Junction	0	4	0%	0%
Monument	0	4	0%	0%
Windsor	0	4	0%	0%
Dacono	3	3	100%	0%
Golden	3	3	100%	0%
Glendale	2	3	67%	0%
Boulder	0	3	0%	0%
Sheridan	1	2	50%	0%
Kiowa	0	2	0%	0%
Carbondale	1	1	100%	0%
Fort Collins	0	1	0%	0%
Superior	0	1	0%	0%
	732	3129	23%	100%